

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Edward J. Kaplan

Serial No.: 10/665,793

Art Unit: 1618

Filed: September 19, 2003

Examiner: Jagadishwar Rao Samala

For: *FLEXIBLE AND/OR ELASTIC BRACHYTHERAPY SEED OR STAND*

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Sir:

Pursuant to the duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.97, Applicant submits a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement, including three (3) pages of Form PTO-1449, and copies of fourteen (14) documents cited therein. Pursuant to the waiver in the notice entitled "Information Disclosure Statements May Be Filed Without Copies of U.S. Patents and Published Applications in Patent Applications Filed After June 30, 2003" published on August 5, 2003 in 1273 OG 55, copies of the U.S. Patents are not enclosed. Copies will be provided upon request, however.

This Supplemental Disclosure Statement is being filed with an Amendment and Response that includes a Request for Continued Examination (RCE). Therefore, this Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement is being filed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b) prior to a first Office Action on the merits after an RCE. It is believed that no fee is required with this submission.

However, should a fee be required, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any required fees to Deposit Account No. 50-3129.

U.S. Patents

<u>Number</u>	<u>Issue Date</u>	<u>Patentee</u>	<u>Class/Subclass</u>
6,426,145	07-30-2002	Moroni	428/412
6,746,661	06-08-2004	Kaplan	424/1.25
7,083,566	08-01-2006	Tornes, et al.	600/3

Publications

ACKERMAN, The pathology of radiation effect of normal and neoplastic tissue", *Am. J. Roentgenol. Radium Ther. Nucl. Med.*, 114(3):447-59 (1972).

BLOCH, et al., "Prostate postbrachytherapy seed distribution: comparison of high-resolution, contrast-enhanced, T1- and T2-weighted endorectal magnetic resonance imaging versus computed tomography: initial experience", *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.*, 69(1):70-8 (2007).

CHEN and BLAIR, "Th-B-224C-01: Permanent prostate brachytherapy using plastic palladium-103 seeds", *Med. Phys.*, 33(6): 2264 (2006). (Abstract)

CHUBA, et al., "A Case of Strand Migration after Prostate Seed Implant," Poster: ESTRO (2006).

CRON, et al., "Changes in the tumor microenvironment during low-dose-rate permanent seed implantation iodine-125 brachytherapy", *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.*, 63(4):1245-51 (2005).

"CDRH promo untitled letters", *Warning Letter Bulletins*, July 28, 2003.

GACCI, et al., "PSA recurrence after brachytherapy for seed misplacement: a double-blind radiologic and pathologic work-up after salvage prostatectomy", *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis.* 11(1):99-101 (2008). (epub., Oct. 2007, 1-3)

HEINTZ, et al. "Comparison of I-125 sources used for permanent interstitial implants", *Med. Phys.*, 28(4):671-82 (2001).

HELPAP, "Fundamentals on the pathology of prostatic carcinoma after brachytherapy", *World J. Urol.*, 20(4):207-12 (2002).

KUNOS, et al., "Migration of implanted free radioactive seeds for adenocarcinoma of the prostate using a Mick applicator", *Brachytherapy*, 3(2):71-7 (2004).

LEENSTRA, et al., "Prostate dimensions and volume in 700 patients undergoing primary surgical or radiotherapeutic management of localized adenocarcinoma: Implications for design of minimally invasive prostate cancer devices", *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.*, 69(3) Supplement: S380-S381 (2007).

MCLAUGHLIN, et al., "Comparison of day 0 and day 14 dosimetry for permanent prostate implants using stranded seeds", *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.*, 64(1):144-50 (2006).

OSTERTAG, et al., "Sequential morphological changes in the dog brain after interstitial iodine- 125 irradiation", *Neurosurgery*, 13(5):523-8 (1983).

PINKAWA, et al., "Evaluation of source displacement and dose--volume changes after permanent prostate brachytherapy with stranded seeds", *Radiother. Oncol.*, 84(2):190-6 (2007).

Remarks

This statement should not be interpreted as a representation that an exhaustive search has been conducted or that no better art exists. Moreover, Applicant invites the Examiner to make an independent evaluation of the cited art to determine its relevance to the subject matter of the present application. Applicant is of the opinion that his claims patentably distinguish over the art referred to herein, either alone or in combination.

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael J. Terapane, J.D., Ph.D./
Michael J. Terapane, J.D., Ph.D.
Reg. No. 57,633

Date: March 2, 2009

PABST PATENT GROUP, LLP
400 Colony Square, Suite 1200
1201 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30361
(404) 879-2155
(404) 879-2160 (fax)